

REVIVING RESIDENT BIRD COUNTS: THE 2001 AND 2002 BREEDING BIRD CENSUS¹

THOMAS GARDALI

*PRBO Conservation Science
3820 Cypress Drive No. 11
Petaluma, CA, 94954 USA
tgardali@prbo.org*

JAMES D. LOWE

*Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology
159 Sapsucker Woods Road
Ithaca, NY, 14850 USA
jdl6@cornell.edu*

Abstract. Resident Bird Counts (RBC), two of the oldest bird monitoring programs in North America, include the Breeding Bird Census (BBC) and The Winter Bird Population Study. Last published in 1996, RBC will now be a regular feature in *Bird Populations*. The RBC revival starts with publication of the BBC, a territory- or spot-mapping program, for 2001 (34 reports) and 2002 (25 reports).

RESTABLECIMIENTO DE LOS CONTEOS DE AVES RESIDENTES: LOS CENSOS DE AVES REPRODUCTORAS DE 2001 Y 2002

Resumen. Los Conteos de Aves Residentes (RBC por sus siglas en inglés), dos de los más antiguos programas de monitoreo en Norteamérica, incluyen el Censo de Aves Reproductoras (BBC por sus siglas en inglés) y el Estudio de Poblaciones de Aves Invernantes. Publicado por última vez en 1996, RBC ahora será una característica regular en *Bird Populations*. El restablecimiento de RBC comienza con la publicación del BBC, un programa de mapeo de territorios o de puntos, de 2001 (34 informes) y 2002 (25 informes).

INTRODUCTION

We announce the return of Resident Bird Counts (RBC), last published in the *Journal of Field Ornithology* in 1996 for census year 1995. Resident Bird Counts (RBCs) include the Breeding Bird Census and Winter Bird Population Study initiated in 1937 and 1948, respectively. These counts are among the oldest bird monitoring programs in North America and much has been written about the methods, objectives, uses, and history (see Lowe this volume: Breeding Bird Census bibliography).

Although publication of RBCs ceased in 1996, researchers and citizen scientists have continued to conduct censuses and send data to the Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology. Hence, it is the publication of results that is being revived here and not the censuses themselves. In the past, however, publication motivated data collection to some degree. Thus, when publication stopped, many fewer reports were submitted. By reviving publication we hope to indirectly revive data collection.

The RBC revival starts with publication of the Breeding Bird Census (BBC) for 2001 and 2002,

¹Received: 4 December 2006. Revision accepted: 7 December 2006.

which are years consistent with the other annual reports in this volume of Bird Populations.

WHY REVIVE?

The RBC is a valuable monitoring program. Relative to other monitoring programs, the RBC provides an opportunity to examine a very long time series, the analyses of which indicate that interpretation of population trends depends on the length of the study period (King et al. this volume). Continuing and hopefully expanding the counts will add to the already unprecedented (at least in North America) time series. Further, interpreting the results of population monitoring is complex, as is the setup of monitoring programs, and in our opinion no methodological panacea is in sight. Multiple methods such as the Breeding Bird Survey, the BBC, and constant-effort mist netting should be used for validation and interpretation of results. The RBC has already proven valuable in this regard (see King et al. this volume and Lowe this volume: Breeding Bird Census bibliography).

At a time when ornithologists are once again debating ways to count birds, the spot-mapping method, employed by the RBC, remains the benchmark used for validation of emerging counting techniques. Publication makes these data more accessible.

The RBC is one of the oldest citizen-science projects in North America. RBC citizen science provides an opportunity to collect bird and habitat data over a very large span of space and time. Simultaneously, citizen scientists learn more about the natural history of birds in their region and about the scientific process. Moreover, they gain environmental awareness through participation.

Publication of RBC will likely play an important role in motivating further data collection. In the past, when publication ceased, the number of reports plummeted. It is our hope that regular publication will once again breathe life into collection and submission of RBC data.

UNDERSTANDING THE REPORTS

Here we provide the skeleton of a BBC report with data descriptions inserted where the meat of each report typically goes.

1. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF THE AREA

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE IN SPANISH

Author(s)

Address(es)

Site Number: A unique ID number assigned for some older plots. **Location:** State or Province; County; nearest town; latitude and longitude; USGS topographic map or other map name.

Continuity: Year established; Number of years census has been done. **Size:** Plot size in hectares.

Description of Plot: Common names of dominant plant species, topography, elevation, edge, and other features noted as necessary (e.g., buildings, bodies of water, rock outcrops, roads).

Established plots will provide the original report citation as well as citations for published updates.

Weather: Mean temperature in Celsius at the start of visits (temperature range in Celsius) and other comments, as appropriate, such as deviations from long-term averages and amount of precipitation.

Coverage: Total hours spent; number of visits to plot (time of day); dates of visits; maximum number of observers/visit (if more than 2).

Census: Species common name, Number of territories rounded to nearest half territory (Number of territories per 40 hectares (for species with at least 3.0 territories);

number of nests (N) or fledglings (FL) observed, if applicable). A "+" after a species name indicates that less than one-quarter of the species' territory occurred on the plot.

Species are listed in descending order (ties are listed in taxonomic order). **Total:** Total number of species; Total number of territories (Total number of territories / 40 hectares).

Visitors: Observed species that potentially could nest on plot but which were not counted (listed in taxonomic order).

Remarks: Comments on factors that may affect populations on the study plot (e.g., predators, parasitism, disturbance, habitat change, large population fluctuations from previous years).

Other Observers: Full names. **Acknowledgements:** If applicable.

THE FUTURE

Resident Bird Counts will now be a regular feature in Bird Populations. Publication will move forward at the pace of this journal and will be temporally in phase with reports from other monitoring programs around the world.

Depending on time and resources, we hope to eventually fill the gap in publication for all RBC.

Use of RBC data will be made more powerful with more years of study and with the addition of study plots (see Lowe this volume). The addition of replicate plots by habitat is desirable to address methodological concerns related to, for example, extrapolation of results to regions or habitat types.

The RBC will be most useful when the data are made available beyond publication. It is our ultimate goal to make all RBC data easily available through the Avian Knowledge Network (AKN; <http://www.avianknowledge.net/>). The AKN can provide the means for RBC data to be gathered (online data entry), archived, organized, discovered, and accessed. Further, the AKN has the potential to provide analyses and subsequent data visualizations of RBC data. For example, the AKN could deliver population trend results by species across several spatial scales. The RBC will be made even more powerful by its inclusion with all datasets federated by the AKN.

PARTICIPATION

Professional and amateur ornithologists alike

are invited to participate. A contributing census is a great classroom activity, if supervised. We encourage participants to submit any data that have not been turned in yet, revisit previously censused plots, and to establish new ones. These data are most valuable when census effort is long-term and we encourage participants to visit their plot(s) for at least five years; ten would be better but any number will be useful. When it is no longer possible for you to do the survey, attempt to find someone to take over.

Contact Tom Gardali (tgardali@prbo.org) for instructions and data forms.

THE 2001 AND 2002 BREEDING BIRD CENSUS

A total of 59 Breeding Bird Census reports are included, 34 in 2001 and 25 in 2002 (Tables 1 and 2). The counts come from 7 states, 1 Canadian province, and the District of Columbia. California has the most counts with 17 (9 in 2001 and 8 in 2002) followed by Connecticut with 10 (5 in 2001 and 5 in 2002) and New York with 9 (5 in 2001 and 4 in 2002). Included here are a total of 4 plots being published for the first time.

TABLE 1. Summary of Breeding Bird Census reports from 2000.

Habitat	State or Prov.	Author(s)	Plot Size (ha)	Terr. per T40 ha	No. sp.	Hrs. Obs.	Yrs.
Broadleaf Forests							
1. Irrigated Mixed Willow Riparian	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	15.0	123	21	350.0	2
2. Recovering Mixed Willow-Black Cottonwood Riparian I	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	39.0	187	28	453.6	2
3. Recovering Mixed Willow-Black Cottonwood Riparian II	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	24.5	162	25	433.9	2
4. Remnant Black Cottonwood Riparian Forest	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	15.0	239	28	308.9	2
5. Mixed Hardwood Poletimber	CT	D. Rosgen	8.5	532	49	19.0	35
6. Second-Growth Hardwood Forest	CT	D. Rosgen	10.1	396	42	16.5	35
7. Central Hardwood Forest with Scattered Pine	DC	M.E. D'Imperio	26.3	192	27	29.2	52
8. Mixed Upland Broadleaf Forest	DC	M.E. D'Imperio	14.2	593	33	55.0	43
9. Oak-Maple-Poplar Hollow	PA	L. Ingram	11.3	145	13	32.8	9
10. Oak-Maple Ridge-Top Forest	PA	D.R. Barber	19.4	78	15	22.0	20
11. Oak-Maple Slope Forest	PA	D.R. Barber	16.9	101	20	22.9	20
12. Hardwood Bottom	SC	P.A. Koehler	11.4	307	19	20.3	3
13. Virgin Hardwood Swamp Forest	SC	M. Dawson	8.9	288	19	15.5	12
14. Mature Maple-Beech-Birch Forest	TN	H. Wilson et al.	10.2	347	13	22.7	9
Needleleaf Forests							
15. Cedar Forest	ID	S.R. Robinson	12.3	171	21	14.7	10
16. Upland Christmas Tree Farm	NY	E.W. Brooks	10.7	282	22	11.6	19
17. Upland Scotch Pine Plantation	NY	E.W. Brooks	9.3	202	25	9.2	33
18. Loblolly Pine Plantation	SC	P.A. Koehler	9.7	109	13	19.0	4
Broadleaf/Needleleaf Forests							
19. Climax Hemlock-White Pine Forest with Transition Hardwoods	CT	D. Rosgen	10.5	537	45	22.5	35
20. Young Mixed Hardwood-Conifer Stand	CT	D. Rosgen	8.5	428	44	14.5	24
21. Riparian Woodland	ID	S.R. Robinson	8.9	227	24	13.7	5
22. Mixed Upland Forest	NY	L. Bowdery et al.	42.3	148	44	33.7	7
23. Upland Mixed Pine-Spruce-Hardwood Plantation	NY	E.W. Brooks	16.6	190	27	10.7	28
Mixed Habitats							
24. Field, Ridge, Shrubby Trees, and Woods	ON	M.F.G. Clark	5.8	848	16	14.0	8
25. Shrubby Trees, Ridge, and Woods	ON	M.F.G. Clark	7.3	323	18	12.6	9
Non-forested Wetlands							
26. Desert Riparian-Freshwater Marsh	CA	E.A. Cardiff	15.4	436	31	26.8	24
27. Shrubby Swamp and Sedge Hummocks	CT	D. Rosgen	8.1	943	43	21.0	35

TABLE 1. Continued.

Habitat	State or Prov.	Author(s)	Plot Size (ha)	Terr. per T40 ha	No. spp.	Hrs. Obs.	Yrs.
Shrublands							
28. Coastal Scrub	CA	A. Dotolo	8.1	148	18	178.2	27
29. Disturbed Coastal Scrub A	CA	D. Jongsomjit, M.E. Flannery	4.7	174	21	164.0	27
30. Disturbed Coastal Scrub B	CA	P. Erwin	8.1	222	21	369.1	27
31. Grazed Coastal Scrub	CA	M.I. Huang	45.0	127	27	429.8	7
Successional Fields							
32. Abandoned Upland Pasture	NY	V.M. Pitzrick	8.0	540	41	6.8	18
33. Clear-Cut-Longleaf Pine Regeneration	SC	S.J. Wagner et al.	12.5	75	14	15.5	7
Cultivated							
34. Conventional Dairy Farm	PA	R.C. Keller	23.1	137	32	18.3	11

TABLE 2. Summary of Breeding Bird Census reports for 2002.

Habitat	State or Prov.	Author(s)	Plot Size (ha)	Terr. per 140 ha	No. spp.	Hrs. Obs.	Yrs.
Broadleaf Forests							
1. Irrigated Mixed Willow Riparian	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	15.0	157	25	370.6	3
2. Recovering Mixed Willow-Black Cottonwood Riparian I	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	39.0	188	27	521.0	3
3. Recovering Mixed Willow-Black Cottonwood Riparian II	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	29.5	205	32	483.2	3
4. Remnant Black Cottonwood Riparian Forest	CA	S.K. Heath et al.	15.0	284	29	426.5	3
5. Mixed Hardwood Poletimber	CT	D. Rosgen	8.5	656	50	17.5	36
6. Second-Growth Hardwood Forest	CT	D. Rosgen	10.1	392	46	16.5	36
7. Central Hardwood Forest with Scattered Pine	DC	M.E. D'Imperio	26.3	157	19	25.4	53
8. Mixed Upland Broadleaf Forest	DC	M.E. D'Imperio	14.2	514	30	45.0	44
9. White Oak Savannah	ON	M.F.G. Clark	10.4	219	19	16.5	8
10. Oak-Maple-Poplar Hollow	PA	L. Ingram	11.3	158	15	25.7	10
11. Hardwood Swamp Forest	SC	M.R. Dawson	8.1	481	18	13.0	12
Needleleaf Forests							
12. Upland Christmas Tree Farm	NY	E.W. Brooks	10.7	333	20	12.5	20
13. Upland Scotch Pine Plantation	NY	E.W. Brooks	9.3	252	30	8.4	34
14. High Altitude Red Spruce Forest	TN	A. Trently	9.9	115	10	25.8	10
Broadleaf/Needleleaf Forests							
15. Climax Hemlock-White Pine Forest with Transition Hardwoods	CT	D. Rosgen	10.5	522	46	23.0	36
16. Young Mixed Hardwood-Conifer Stand	CT	D. Rosgen	8.5	435	50	16.0	25
17. Riparian Woodland	ID	S.R. Robinson	8.9	236	25	13.5	6
18. Upland Mixed Pine-Spruce-Hardwood Plantation	NY	E.W. Brooks	16.6	213	32	10.9	29
Mixed Habitats							
19. Pitch Pine-Slabrock	NY	L. Bowdery et al.	15.8	137	28	27.6	3
20. Field, Ridge, Shrubby Trees, and Woods	ON	M.F.G. Clark	5.8	793	19	13.5	9
Non-forested Wetlands							
21. Desert Riparian-Freshwater Marsh	CA	E.A. Cardiff	15.4	278	29	25.5	25
22. Shrubby Swamp and Sedge Hummocks	CT	D. Rosgen	8.1	1086	46	25.0	36
Shrublands							
23. Coastal Scrub	CA	A. Rosenthal, D. Jongsomjit	8.1	237	22	203.7	28
24. Disturbed Coastal Scrub A	CA	B. MacDonald, D. Jongsomjit	4.7	221	25	176.9	28
25. Disturbed Coastal Scrub B	CA	E. Morrison, D. Jongsomjit	8.1	299	21	265.2	28